

**SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY COMMITTEE ON SCHOOL DISTRICT ORGANIZATION
PUBLIC HEARING MEETING**

February 24, 2022

6:00 p.m.

San Luis Obispo County Office of Education
3350 Education Drive, San Luis Obispo CA 93405

In the interest of public health, the Public Hearing Meeting will also be held both in-person and virtually. The San Luis Obispo County Committee on School District Organization is making significant efforts to ensure public participation during this meeting by providing the following link:

Join Zoom Meeting

<https://slocoe-org.zoom.us/j/92002005040?pwd=RExLcWlXRWNqYlJuZExXKzF6MmWJBZz09>

Meeting ID: 920 0200 5040

Passcode: 816278

AGENDA

- 1.0 CALL TO ORDER AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**
- 2.0 ESTABLISH QUORUM (ROLL CALL)**
- 3.0 ADOPT RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING USE OF REMOTE TELECONFERENCING PROVISIONS PURSUANT TO AB 361 (ROLL CALL VOTE)**
- 4.0 ADOPT AGENDA (ROLL CALL VOTE)**
- 5.0 ADOPT CONSENT AGENDA (ROLL CALL VOTE)**
 - 5.1 San Luis Obispo County Committee Meeting Minutes: December 6, 2021
- 6.0 PUBLIC COMMENT**

Government Code 54954.3 provides that, before or during the Committee's consideration of an item, the public may address the Board directly on any item of interest that is within the Committee's purview, provided that no action shall be taken on an item not appearing on the agenda unless otherwise authorized by Government Code 54954.2(b). Further, to ensure the intent of Government Code 54954.3(a) is carried out, the Board may impose reasonable regulations including limiting the amount of time allocated for public testimony on particular issues and for each individual speaker. Each person is limited to three minutes unless the Board provides direction to the contrary.
- 7.0 PUBLIC HEARING – Regarding the San Luis Obispo County Board of Education Adjusted Trustee Area Boundaries**
 - 7.1 Declare Public Hearing Open**
 - 7.2 Conduct Public Hearing**
 - 7.3 Declare Public Hearing Closed**
- 8.0 ACTION ITEM(S)**
 - 8.1 Consider Adoption of the San Luis Obispo County Committee on School District Organization Resolution No. 22-07, Approving the Adoption of Adjusted Trustee Area Boundaries for the San Luis Obispo County Board of Education, Pursuant to Education Code Section 1002.**

Pursuant to Education Code Section 1002, the County Committee is responsible for the final adjustment of the boundaries of trustee areas of the County Board following each

decennial federal census. On February 3, 2022, the County Board adopted Resolution No. 22-05: Approving Adjustments to the Boundaries of the Trustee Areas Pursuant to Education Code Section 1002. On 2-17-2022, the resolution was submitted to the San Luis Obispo County Committee on School District Organization for review.

9.0 COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENTS

10.0 ADJOURNMENT

NOTE: In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you need special assistance to participate in a County Committee Meeting, please contact the Superintendent's Office (805) 782-7201. Notification of at least 48 hours prior to the meeting will assist the staff in assuring that reasonable accommodations can be made.

**3.0 RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING USE OF REMOTE TELECONFERENCING
PROVISIONS PURSUANT TO AB 361**

**SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY COMMITTEE ON SCHOOL DISTRICT ORGANIZATION
RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING USE OF REMOTE
TELECONFERENCING PROVISIONS (AB 361)**

WHEREAS, the Governing Board of the San Luis Obispo County Committee on School District Organization (“Governing Board”) is committed to open and transparent government, and full compliance with the Ralph M. Brown Act (“Brown Act”); and

WHEREAS, Governor Gavin Newsom declared a state of emergency for the State of California due to the COVID-19 pandemic in his order entitled “Proclamation of a State of Emergency,” signed March 4, 2020; and

WHEREAS, given the State of Emergency, Governor Newsom issued Orders N-25-20, N-29-20 and N-08-21 authorizing the legislative bodies of local agency to hold public meetings via teleconferencing or through other electronic means and waived certain requirements under the Brown Act; and

WHEREAS, the authority to hold electronic meetings under the Executive orders expires on September 30, 2020; and

WHEREAS, the California Legislature has recognized the ongoing state of emergency due to the COVID-19 pandemic and has responded by creating an additional means for public meetings to be held via teleconference (inclusive of internet-based virtual meetings); and

WHEREAS, on September 16, 2021, the California legislature passed Assembly Bill (“AB”) 361, which amends Government Code, section 54953 and permits a local agency to use teleconferencing to conduct its meetings in any of the following circumstances: (A) the legislative body holds a meeting during a proclaimed state of emergency, and state or local officials have imposed or recommended measures to promote social distancing; (B) the legislative body holds a meeting during a proclaimed state of emergency for the purpose of determining, by majority vote, whether as a result of the emergency, meeting in person would present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees; or (C) the legislative body holds a meeting during a proclaimed state of emergency and has determined, by majority vote, pursuant to subparagraph (B), that, as a result of the emergency, meeting in person would present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees; and

WHEREAS, in order for the Governing Board to use teleconferencing as allowed by AB 361 after October 1, 2021, it must first adopt findings in a resolution, allowing the Governing Board to conduct teleconferenced meetings for a period of thirty (30) days; and

WHEREAS, the Governing Board hereby finds that the state and local emergencies have caused and will continue to cause imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees; and

WHEREAS, the Governing Board is conducting its meetings through the use of telephonic and internet-based services to ensure that members of the public may observe and participate in meetings, including making real-time public comments.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the recitals set forth above are true and correct and fully incorporated into this Resolution by reference.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Governing Board has determined that given the state of emergency, holding in-person meetings would present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the actions taken by the Governing Board through this Resolution may be applied to all District committees governed by the Brown Act unless otherwise desired by that committee.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Governing Board authorizes the Superintendent or their designee(s) to take all actions necessary to conduct Governing Board meetings in accordance with Government Code section 54953(e) and all other applicable provisions of the Brown Act, using teleconferencing for a period of thirty (30) days from the adoption of this Resolution, after which the Governing Board will reconsider the circumstances of the state of emergency.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the San Luis Obispo County Committee on School District Organization Governing Board on this 6th day of December, 2021, by the following vote:

AYES 7

NOES 0

ABSENT 4

ABSTAIN 0

Adopted: 12-6-21;

5.0 ADOPT CONSENT AGENDA

**5.1 SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES: DECEMBER 6,
2021**



**SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY
OFFICE OF EDUCATION**
LEADERSHIP • COMMUNITY • SERVICE
JAMES J. BRESCIA, Ed. D., SUPERINTENDENT

**COUNTY COMMITTEE ON SCHOOL DISTRICT ORGANIZATION
ANNUAL ORGANIZATIONAL MEETING**

**Monday, December 6, 2021
5:30 p.m.
Zoom Platform**

Join Zoom Meeting

<https://slocoe-org.zoom.us/j/93125080445?pwd=WkN0cGN5TkwyUlnOWpQSkZEEd0swdz09>

Meeting ID: 931 2508 0445
Passcode: 038035

Dial by your location
+1 669 900 9128 US (San Jose)
Meeting ID: 931 2508 0445
Passcode: 038035

UNADOPTED MINUTES

Members Present:

District #1
Chris Bausch

District #4
Sarah Araya
Piper Adelman (vote after appointed
in agenda item 5.1)

District #2
Kathy Madonna
Stuart Selkirk (left mtg. after agenda item 3.0)
Mark Ober (vote after appointed in agenda item 5.1)

District #5
Ray Buban

District #3
Ron Walton

Member-at-Large
Andrew Hayes

Staff Present:

Dr. James Brescia, County Superintendent of Schools
Karla Knuckles, Superintendent's Confidential Executive Assistant

Guests Present:

Chelsea Olson-Murphy, Counsel for the San Luis Obispo County Office of Education

1.0 CALL TO ORDER AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Chairman Buban called the meeting to order at 5:32 p.m., followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

2.0 ESTABLISH QUORUM

Roll call was taken to establish a quorum. 7 members were present.

3.0 ADOPT RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING USE OF REMOTE TELECONFERENCING PROVISIONS PURSUANT TO AB 361

MOTION: BAUSCH/WALTON A motion was passed to Adopt Resolution Authorizing Use of Remote Teleconferencing Provisions Pursuant to AB 361. (7-0)

4.0 ADOPTION OF AGENDA

There were no changes to the agenda.

MOTION: BAUSCH/HAYS A motion was passed to adopt the agenda as presented. (7-0)

5.0 ACTION ITEM(S)

5.1 Seat Newly Appointed County Committee Members

Dr. Brescia, County Superintendent of Schools, administered the Oath of Allegiance to the newly appointed committee members; Piper Adelman District #4, Andrew Hays, Member at Large, and Mark Ober, District #2.

MOTION: BAUSCH/HAYS A motion was passed to Seat Newly Appointed County Committee Members. (6-0)

5.2 Election of Officers: Chair and Vice-Chair

Per the Committee's Bylaws, there shall be an annual organizational meeting to elect a Chairperson and Vice-Chairperson.

MOTION: BAUSCH/HAYS A motion and second were made to nominate Ray Buban as Chair. Mr. Buban accepted. There were no other nominations. (8-0)

MOTION: BUBAN/BAUSCH A motion and second were made to nominate Ron Walton as Vice-Chair. Mr. Walton accepted. There were no other nominations. (8-0)

Officers for 2022 are Ray Buban, Chair and Ron Walton, Vice-Chair.

6.0 CONSENT AGENDA

6.1 San Luis Obispo County Committee Meeting Minutes: September 8, 2021

There were no changes to the consent agenda.

MOTION: MADONNA/BAUSCH A motion was passed to adopt the consent agenda as presented. (8-0)

7.0 PUBLIC COMMENT

Government Code 54954.3 provides that, before or during the Board's consideration of an item, the public may address the Board directly on any item of interest that is within the Board's purview, provided

that no action shall be taken on an item not appearing on the agenda unless otherwise authorized by Government Code 54954.2(b). Further, to ensure the intent of Government Code 54954.3(a) is carried out, the Board may impose reasonable regulations including limiting the amount of time allocated for public testimony on particular issues and for each individual speaker. Each person is limited to three minutes unless the Board provides direction to the contrary.

There were no public comments received.

8.0 DISCUSSION/INFORMATION ITEM(S)

There were no discussion/information items presented.

9.0 COMMITTEE MEMBERS COMMENTS

There were no committee members comments.

10.0 ADJOURNMENT

Chairman Buban adjourned the meeting at 5:53 p.m.

*Respectfully submitted,
James J. Brescia, Ed.D., County Superintendent of Schools
Secretary to the County Committee on School District Organization*

7.0 PUBLIC HEARING NOTICE

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

Proposed Establishment of Trustee Areas for the Governing Board of the San Luis Obispo County Office of Education

Pursuant to Education Code sections 5019 and 5030, the San Luis Obispo County Committee on School District Organization (“County Committee”), upon application from a school district’s governing board, is authorized to change the method of election in a school district under its jurisdiction. On February 4, 2022, the San Luis Obispo County Board of Education selected a preferred trustee area boundary map and adopted Resolution Number 22-05, which will be submitted to the County Committee for consideration and potential approval.

When considering a school district’s proposal to adopt and establish trustee areas, Education Code section 5019, subdivision(c) requires a county committee to call and conduct at least one public hearing in the district.

Notice is hereby given that the County Committee will conduct a Public Hearing on the proposal to establish trustee areas within the San Luis Obispo County Office of Education, as follows:

Date: Thursday, February 24, 2022
Time: 5:00 p.m.
Location: San Luis Obispo County Office of Education Board Room
3350 Education Drive
San Luis Obispo, CA 93405

In the interest of public health, this meeting will take place both online and in person. A link to the meeting can be found in advance of the meeting at the County Committee on School District Organization webpage:

<https://www.slocoe.org/divisions/administration/county-committee-on-school-district-organization/>

For convenience, comments may be made via email in advance, virtually and the County Committee may provide a telephonic option for verbal comments. If you wish to address the County Committee, you may email your public comment in advance to: kknuckles@slocoe.org.

Should you have any questions regarding this notice, please call the County Superintendent’s Office at (805) 782-7201.

**8.1 CONSIDER ADOPTION OF THE SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY COMMITTEE ON
SCHOOL DISTRICT ORGANIZATION RESOLUTION 22-07**

**SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY COMMITTEE
ON SCHOOL DISTRICT ORGANIZATION**

Resolution No. 22-07

**RESOLUTION OF THE SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY COMMITTEE ON SCHOOL
DISTRICT ORGANIZATION APPROVING THE ADOPTION OF ADJUSTED
TRUSTEE AREA BOUNDARIES FOR THE SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY BOARD OF
EDUCATION, PURSUANT TO EDUCATION CODE SECTION 1002**

WHEREAS, the San Luis Obispo County Board of Education (“Board”) is elected under a “by trustee area” election system wherein each trustee resides within one of five trustee areas and each trustee is elected only by the registered voters of the trustee area they represent;

WHEREAS, following each decennial federal census, the San Luis Obispo County Committee on School District Organization (“Committee”) must adjust the boundaries of any or all of the Board’s trustee areas as necessary to meet the population criteria set forth in Education Code section 1002;

WHEREAS, Education Code section 1002, subdivision (c), provides that any changes to the boundaries of the Board’s trustee areas shall be made in writing and filed with the San Luis Obispo County Board of Supervisors before the first day of March of the school year;

WHEREAS, to assist the Committee, the Board retained Redistricting Partners (“Demographer”), a demographic consulting firm experienced in redistricting issues and requirements, to prepare a trustee area redistricting plan;

WHEREAS, the Board conferred with and received guidance and advice from Demographer regarding the current demographic population in the territory of the Board’s jurisdiction, based on the official 2020 Census results, and concerning the procedures and policy criteria governing redistricting;

WHEREAS, on January 6, 2022, the Board conducted a duly noticed public meeting to study the population changes in the District as set forth in the 2020 Census, and the Demographer presented the Board with map adjustment options where input from the public on the proposed redistricting plans was solicited;

WHEREAS, on February 3, 2022, the Board passed Resolution No. 22-5 (Exhibit A), a proposal requesting that the County Committee adopt Map Adoption Plan A (Exhibit B), pursuant to Education Code section 1002;

WHEREAS, on February 24, 2022, the Committee conducted a duly noticed public hearing to study the Board’s proposal;

WHEREAS, the population in the proposed trustee areas in Plan A are reasonably equal, in compliance with legal requirements; and

WHEREAS, Plan A provides for a single-member, by trustee area electoral system, that complies with the California Voting Rights Act (Elec. Code, § 14025 *et seq.*) and Section 2 of the Federal Voting Rights Act (42 U.S.C. § 1973).

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the San Luis Obispo County Committee on School District Organization hereby resolves as follows:

1. The above recitals are correct and true.
2. The Committee hereby adopts the Board's proposal of Plan A, attached hereto as Exhibit B and incorporated herein by this reference, as the trustee area redistricting plan for the Board pursuant to Education Code section 1002 following the 2020 Decennial Census.
3. The County Superintendent of Schools or his designee is hereby requested to deliver a copy of this signed Resolution to the San Luis Obispo County Board of Supervisors, and the San Luis Obispo County Elections Office prior to March 1, 2022.
4. The County Superintendent or designee, in conjunction with the Demographer, is hereby authorized to work with the County Elections Office to conduct any additional acts necessary to complete the redistricting process in a timely fashion to permit implementation of these trustee areas in connection with the election to be held on November 8, 2022, including, without limitation, minor adjustments to the adopted map as may be necessary for its implementation.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the San Luis Obispo County Committee on School District Organization, at a meeting held on February 24, 2022, by the following vote:

Ayes: _____
Noes: _____
Absent: _____
Abstain: _____

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing resolution was duly and regularly introduced, passed, and adopted by members of the San Luis Obispo County Committee on School District Organization, at a public meeting of held on February 24, 2022.

[NAME]

[DATE]

EXHIBIT A
SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION
RESOLUTION NO. 22-05
[ATTACHED]

SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION

Resolution No. 22-05

**RESOLUTION OF THE SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION
APPROVING ADJUSTMENTS TO THE BOUNDARIES OF THE TRUSTEE AREAS
PURSUANT TO EDUCATION CODE SECTION 1002**

WHEREAS, the San Luis Obispo County Board of Education (“County Board”) is elected under a “by trustee area” election system wherein each trustee resides within one of five trustee areas and each trustee is elected only by the registered voters of the trustee area they represent;

WHEREAS, following each decennial federal census, the San Luis Obispo County Committee on School District Organization (“Committee”) must adjust the boundaries of any or all of the trustee areas as necessary to meet the population criteria set forth in Education Code section 1002, subdivision (b);

WHEREAS, Education Code section 1002, subdivision (b), provides that the boundaries of the trustee areas shall be adjusted by the Committee before the first day of March of the year following the year in which the results of each decennial census are released;

WHEREAS, through this resolution, the County Board shall adopt a proposed trustee area map adjustment to be provided to the Committee for final approval prior to the first day of March, 2022;

WHEREAS, Redistricting Partners (“Demographer”), a demographic consulting firm experienced in redistricting issues and requirements, was retained to assist the County Board in preparing a trustee area redistricting plan for the Board’s and public’s consideration;

WHEREAS, the County Board has conferred with and received guidance and advice from Demographer regarding the current demographic population in the territory of the County Board’s jurisdiction, based on the official 2020 Census results, and concerning the procedures and policy criteria governing redistricting;

WHEREAS, on January 6, 2022, the Board conducted a duly noticed public meeting to study the population changes in the District as set forth in the 2020 Census, and the Demographer presented the Board with map adjustment options where input from the public on the proposed redistricting plans was solicited;

WHEREAS, the population in the existing trustee areas of each draft trustee area redistricting plan is reasonably equal, in compliance with legal requirements; and

WHEREAS, each draft trustee area redistricting plan provides for a single-member, by trustee area electoral system, that complies with the California Voting Rights Act (Elec. Code, § 14025 *et seq.*) and Section 2 of the Federal Voting Rights Act (42 U.S.C. § 1973).

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the San Luis Obispo County Board of Education hereby resolves as follows:

1. The above recitals are correct and true.
2. The Board hereby adopts Plan A attached hereto as Appendix A and incorporated herein by this reference, as its trustee area redistricting plan pursuant to Education Code section 1002 following the 2020 Census.
3. The County Superintendent or their designee is hereby authorized and directed to send a copy of this Resolution to the County Committee for final approval and to work with the San Luis Obispo County Elections Offices to conduct the acts necessary to complete the redistricting process in a timely fashion.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the San Luis Obispo County Board of Education at its regular meeting held on February 3, 2022.

AYES: GALVAN, MADONNA, OLIVARRIA, PETERSON, WARD

NOES: N/A


ABSENT: N/A

ABSTAINED: N/A



President, Board of Trustees
San Luis Obispo County Office of Education

Attest:



Clerk, Board of Trustees
San Luis Obispo County Office of Education



EXHIBIT B
SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION
MAP ADOPTION PLAN A
[ATTACHED]


Draft Plan A

Minimal Change

We have created draft plans based on the traditional redistricting criteria

- Existing lines adjusted for population
- Adjusts existing lines for current population with a deviation of 9%
- Made minimal changes to Districts D1 & D2. Follow the Northern boundary of Paso Robles Joint Unified to place Oak Shores into D2.






2020 Census

	1	2	3	4	5
Population	57,796	52,753	54,523	57,577	56,968
Deviation	1,873	-3,170	-1,400	1,654	1,045
Deviation %	3.3%	-5.7%	-2.5%	3.0%	1.9%
Other	36,931	40,387	40,569	38,000	44,105
Other %	63.9%	76.6%	74.4%	66.0%	77.4%
Latino	19,185			506	10,038
Latino %	33.2%			.4%	17.6%
Asian	1,046			641	2,171
Asian %	1.8%			.9%	3.8%
Black	634	457	521	430	654
Black %	1.1%	0.9%	1.0%	0.7%	1.1%


The total deviation of the plan is the sum of the absolute values of the highest and lowest districts. *Here the plan deviation is 9%*

Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

	1	2	3	4	5
Total CVAP	40,491	46,022	41,805	42,281	46,339
Other CVAP	30,675	37,502	33,472	31,563	38,251
Other CVAP %	75.8%	81.5%	80.1%	74.7%	82.5%
Latino CVAP	8,550	5,280	5,963	9,048	6,011
Latino CVAP %	21.1%	11.5%	14.3%	21.4%	13.0%
Asian CVAP	679	2,691	1,551	1,298	1,461
Asian CVAP %	1.7%	5.8%	3.7%	3.1%	3.2%
Black CVAP	587	549	819	372	616
Black CVAP %	1.4%	1.2%	2.0%	0.9%	1.3%







SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION
LETTER AND 2021 REDISTRICTING DRAFT MAPS PRESENTATION
[ATTACHED]



County Committee
San Luis Obispo County
Chair Ray Buban

February 17, 2022

Chair Buban,

I am writing today on behalf of the San Luis Obispo County Office of Education to respectfully submit the County Board of Education's proposal to slightly adjust by-trustee area elections for approval by the San Luis Obispo County Committee on School District Organization ("County Committee").

Upon the release of the United States Decennial Census Data, county boards of education that use by-trustee area elections are required to evaluate the census data to determine whether their current trustee areas have approximately equal population. The County Board hired professional demographers, Redistricting Partners, to conduct a study of the 2020 Census data to determine whether the current trustee areas are population balanced. Public hearings were held on January 6, 2022, and February 3, 2022. At the subsequent February 3, 2022, County Board meeting, the Board adopted Resolution No. 22-05 adopting Map A, which slightly adjusted the by-trustee areas for elections to maintain the required percentages.

Proposals made according to the Education Code indicate that the County Committee shall call and conduct at least one public hearing within the district making the proposal on the matter, and at the conclusion of the hearing, shall approve or disapprove the proposal. (Ed. Code, § 5019, subd. (c)(2).)

Please do not hesitate to contact my office directly if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

James J. Brescia, Ed.D.
County Superintendent of Schools



San Luis Obispo Board of Education 2021 Redistricting Draft Maps



**SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY
OFFICE OF EDUCATION**
LEADERSHIP • COMMUNITY • SERVICE

Agenda

Things we will cover:

- What is Redistricting
- Traditional Redistricting Principles
- Fair Maps Act
- Existing Lines
- Draft Maps with overlays

What is Redistricting

Definition

Redistricting is the process of adjusting district lines every 10 years after the release of the U.S. Census. The well-known examples are Congress and the legislature.

Within the U.S., redistricting has become an extremely politicized process and has been the subject of more high-profile Supreme Court decisions than any other part of our elections system.

Traditional Redistricting Principles

Preventing a Districting from Becoming a Gerrymander

There are a number of criteria that have been used nationally and upheld by courts.

- Relatively equal size – people, not citizens
- Contiguous – districts should not hop/jump
- Keep districts compact – appearance/function
- Maintain “*communities of interest*”
- Follow city/county/local government lines

Communities of Interest

Bringing like-minded people together for representation

A community of interest includes ethnic and language minorities and other groups.

Communities covered by the Voting Rights Act

- Latinos
- Asians
- African Americans

While race is a community of interest, it cannot be the *predominant factor* in drawing districts.

Fair Maps Act (2019)

Preventing a Districting from Becoming a Gerrymander

Starting in 2020, cities and counties doing redistricting have additional criteria they must follow under the California Fair Maps Act. This becomes a “best practice” for all agencies.



- Process/transparency when conducting redistricting
- Not using incumbent or candidate residence as a Community of Interest
- Not drawing districts to advantage a political party

These rules do not currently apply directly to San Luis Obispo Board of Education but redistricting best practices will likely push most agencies to voluntarily adopting them.

From COI to Maps


With more districts using commission-based redistricting, we move redistricting out into the public, with maps driven by Community of Interest testimony.

- **Input from community drives process** with multiple ways for the public to engage.
- **Rules for process** emphasize the importance of traditional criteria and minimizing divisions of neighborhoods.
- **Releasing maps for feedback** – today we will see plans to spark more public engagement.



San Luis Obispo Board of
Education
Existing Lines

 REDISTRICTING
PARTNERS



2020 Census

	1	2	3	4	5
Population	58,475	52,074	54,523	57,577	56,968
Deviation	2,552	-3,849	-1,400	1,654	1,045
Deviation %	4.6%	-6.9%	-2.5%	3.0%	1.9%
Other	37,526	39,792	40,569	38,000	44,105
Other %	64.2%	76.4%	74.4%	66.0%	77.4%
Latino	19,262	9,027	11,138	17,506	10,038
Latino %	32.9%	17.3%	20.4%	30.4%	17.6%
Asian	1,051	2,800	2,295	1,641	2,171
Asian %	1.8%	5.4%	4.2%	2.9%	3.8%
Black	636	455	521	430	654
Black %	1.1%	0.9%	1.0%	0.7%	1.1%

Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

	1	2	3	4	5
Total CVAP	41,111	45,402	41,805	42,281	46,339
Other CVAP	31,212	36,965	33,472	31,563	38,251
Other CVAP %	75.9%	81.4%	80.1%	74.7%	82.5%
Latino CVAP	8,633	5,197	5,963	9,048	6,011
Latino CVAP %	21.0%	11.4%	14.3%	21.4%	13.0%
Asian CVAP	679	2,691	1,551	1,298	1,461
Asian CVAP %	1.7%	5.9%	3.7%	3.1%	3.2%
Black CVAP	587	549	819	372	616
Black CVAP %	1.4%	1.2%	2.0%	0.9%	1.3%

2020 Census

	1	2	3	4	5
Population	58,475	52,074	54,523	57,577	56,969
Deviation	2,552	-3,849	-1,400	1,654	1,045
Deviation %	4.6%	-6.9%	-2.5%	3.0%	1.9%
Other	37,526	39,792	40,569	38,000	44,105
Other %	64.2%	76.4%	74.4%	66.0%	77.4%
Latino	19,200	17,506	17,506	17,506	10,038
Latino %	32.9%	17.3%	20.4%	30.4%	17.6%
Asian	1,051	2,800	2,295	1,641	2,171
Asian %	1.8%	5.4%	4.2%	2.9%	3.8%
Black	636	455	521	430	654
Black %	1.1%	0.9%	1.0%	0.7%	1.1%

Total plan deviation: 11.5%

Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

	1	2	3	4	5
Total CVAP	41,111	45,402	41,805	42,281	46,339
Other CVAP	31,212	36,965	33,472	31,563	38,251
Other CVAP %	75.9%	81.4%	80.1%	74.7%	82.5%
Latino CVAP	8,633	5,197	5,963	9,048	6,011
Latino CVAP %	21.0%	11.4%	14.3%	21.4%	13.0%
Asian CVAP	679	2,691	1,551	1,298	1,461
Asian CVAP %	1.7%	5.9%	3.7%	3.1%	3.2%
Black CVAP	587	549	819	372	616
Black CVAP %	1.4%	1.2%	2.0%	0.9%	1.3%

Draft Map Plans A, B & C

Board Members were provided a packet with three consultant created plans based on traditional redistricting criteria. These maps were posted to the website for the public five days prior to tonight's Draft Map hearing.

- Each draft has been created with a less than 10% total deviation
- Each draft plan is provided with both a PDF and Online Web-based format






Draft Plan A

Minimal Change

We have created draft plans based on the traditional redistricting criteria

- Existing lines adjusted for population
- Adjusts existing lines for current population with a deviation of 9%
- Made minimal changes to Districts D1 & D2. Follow the Northern boundary of Paso Robles Joint Unified to place Oak Shores into D2.






2020 Census


	1	2	3	4	5
Population	57,796	52,753	54,523	57,577	56,968
Deviation	1,873	-3,170	-1,400	1,654	1,045
Deviation %	3.3%	-5.7%	-2.5%	3.0%	1.9%
Other	36,931	40,387	40,569	38,000	44,105
Other %	63.9%	76.6%	74.4%	66.0%	77.4%
Latino	19,185			506	10,038
Latino %	33.2%			.4%	17.6%
Asian	1,046			641	2,171
Asian %	1.8%			.9%	3.8%
Black	634	457	521	430	654
Black %	1.1%	0.9%	1.0%	0.7%	1.1%

The total deviation of the plan is the sum of the absolute values of the highest and lowest districts. *Here the plan deviation is 9%*

Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

	1	2	3	4	5
Total CVAP	40,491	46,022	41,805	42,281	46,339
Other CVAP	30,675	37,502	33,472	31,563	38,251
Other CVAP %	75.8%	81.5%	80.1%	74.7%	82.5%
Latino CVAP	8,550	5,280	5,963	9,048	6,011
Latino CVAP %	21.1%	11.5%	14.3%	21.4%	13.0%
Asian CVAP	679	2,691	1,551	1,298	1,461
Asian CVAP %	1.7%	5.8%	3.7%	3.1%	3.2%
Black CVAP	587	549	819	372	616
Black CVAP %	1.4%	1.2%	2.0%	0.9%	1.3%








**REDISTRICTING
PARTNERS**

San Luis Obispo BOE

Draft Plan A with USDs






Draft Plan B

Follow Unified School District lines

We have created draft plans based on the traditional redistricting criteria

- Follow USD lines for Draft Plan
- Adjusts existing lines for current population with a deviation of 8.4%
- D1 Paso Robles, Shandon and portion of Templeton
- D2 Pacific Coast, portions of Templeton and small portion of San Luis
- D3 San Luis Obispo
- D4 Lucia Mar, and small portion of San Luis
- D5 Atascadero






2020 Census


	1	2	3	4	5
Population	53,263	54,019	57,948	57,541	56,846
Deviation	-2,660	-1,904	2,025	1,618	923
Deviation %	-4.8%	-3.4%	3.6%	2.9%	1.7%
Other	33,781	41,465	45,764	36,139	42,843
Other %	63.4%	76.8%	79.0%	62.8%	75.4%
Latino	17,948			185	9,729
Latino %	33.7%			0.3%	17.1%
Asian	929			742	3,607
Asian %	1.7%			1.0%	6.3%
Black	605	553	396	475	667
Black %	1.1%	1.0%	0.7%	0.8%	1.2%


The total deviation of the plan is the sum of the absolute values of the highest and lowest districts. *Here the plan deviation is **8.4%***

Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

	1	2	3	4	5
Total CVAP	37,463	41,310	47,114	42,039	49,012
Other CVAP	27,922	35,111	39,597	30,252	38,581
Other CVAP %	74.5%	85.0%	84.0%	72.0%	78.7%
Latino CVAP	8,364	5,107	5,305	9,909	6,167
Latino CVAP %	22.3%	12.4%	11.3%	23.6%	12.6%
Asian CVAP	594	779	1,636	1,442	3,229
Asian CVAP %	1.6%	1.9%	3.5%	3.4%	6.6%
Black CVAP	583	313	576	436	1,035
Black CVAP %	1.6%	0.8%	1.2%	1.0%	2.1%










Draft Plan C

Follow Unified School District and City lines

We have created draft plans based on the traditional redistricting criteria

- Follow USD and City lines for Draft Plan
- Adjusts existing lines for current population with a deviation of 7.8%
- D1 Paso Robles, Oak Shores, Lake Nacimiento, San Miguel, Whitley Gardens, and Shandon
- D2 San Simeon, Cambria, Cayucos, Templeton, Atascadero, and portion of Morro Bay
- D3 Los Osos, Avila Beach, Los Ranchos, Pismo, Grover Beach, and portions of Morro Bay and San Luis Obispo
- D4 Oceano, Arroyo Grande, Los Berro, Callender, Blacklake, Woodlands, and Nipomo
- D5 Creston, Garden Farms, Santa Margarita, Cal Poly University, and most of San Luis Obispo






2020 Census


	1	2	3	4	5
Population	53,079	55,049	57,441	56,692	57,356
Deviation	-2,844	-874	1,518	769	1,433
Deviation %	-5.1%	-1.6%	2.7%	1.4%	2.6%
Other	33,625	42,262	43,532	37,239	43,334
Other %	63.3%	76.8%	75.8%	65.7%	75.6%
Latino	17,922			400	9,825
Latino %	33.8%			.7%	17.1%
Asian	927			624	3,543
Asian %	1.7%			.9%	6.2%
Black	605	562	446	429	654
Black %	1.1%	1.0%	0.8%	0.8%	1.1%

The total deviation of the plan is the sum of the absolute values of the highest and lowest districts. *Here the plan deviation is 7.8%*

Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

	1	2	3	4	5
Total CVAP	37,325	42,075	46,711	41,595	49,232
Other CVAP	27,804	35,744	38,142	30,936	38,837
Other CVAP %	74.5%	85.0%	81.7%	74.4%	78.9%
Latino CVAP	8,345	5,210	6,123	9,006	6,168
Latino CVAP %	22.4%	12.4%	13.1%	21.7%	12.5%
Asian CVAP	593	804	1,792	1,281	3,210
Asian CVAP %	1.6%	1.9%	3.8%	3.1%	6.5%
Black CVAP	583	317	654	372	1,017
Black CVAP %	1.6%	0.8%	1.4%	0.9%	2.1%








**REDISTRICTING
PARTNERS**

San Luis Obispo BOE
Draft Plan C with USDs



San Luis Obispo Board of Education Redistricting Process

Next Steps



January – Review of revised draft maps & selection of final maps. Board will review draft maps with changes from prior meeting, and select one set of maps to move to a final vote. Additional changes can be made to draft maps selected.

February – Board will vote on final maps.

What's Next

The board can make use of these mapping options in a number of ways.

- **Identify preferred plan(s)** with an understanding that none of these are final plans. *It is an iterative process.*
- **Suggest possible changes** – Redistricting Partners can provide adjustments to the boundaries.
- **Put out for public input** – the public will be very willing to tell us where we got the lines wrong. Input is our ally in developing final plans.






REDISTRICTING

PARTNERS



**REDISTRICTING
PARTNERS**

San Luis Obispo BOE
Draft Plan A





2020 Census

	1	2	3	4	5
Population	57,796	52,753	54,523	57,577	56,968
Deviation	1,873	-3,170	-1,400	1,654	1,045
Deviation %	3.3%	-5.7%	-2.5%	3.0%	1.9%
Other	36,931	40,387	40,569	38,000	44,105
Other %	63.9%	76.6%	74.4%	66.0%	77.4%
Latino	19,185	9,104	11,138	17,506	10,038
Latino %	33.2%	17.3%	20.4%	30.4%	17.6%
Asian	1,046	2,805	2,295	1,641	2,171
Asian %	1.8%	5.3%	4.2%	2.9%	3.8%
Black	634	457	521	430	654
Black %	1.1%	0.9%	1.0%	0.7%	1.1%

Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

	1	2	3	4	5
Total CVAP	40,491	46,022	41,805	42,281	46,339
Other CVAP	30,675	37,502	33,472	31,563	38,251
Other CVAP %	75.8%	81.5%	80.1%	74.7%	82.5%
Latino CVAP	8,550	5,280	5,963	9,048	6,011
Latino CVAP %	21.1%	11.5%	14.3%	21.4%	13.0%
Asian CVAP	679	2,691	1,551	1,298	1,461
Asian CVAP %	1.7%	5.8%	3.7%	3.1%	3.2%
Black CVAP	587	549	819	372	616
Black CVAP %	1.4%	1.2%	2.0%	0.9%	1.3%















**REDISTRICTING
PARTNERS**

San Luis Obispo BOE Draft Plan A with USDs






District 1

2020 Census

Citizen Voting Age Population


Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
57,796	1,873	3.3%	36,931	63.9%	19,185	33.2%	1,046	1.8%	634	1.1%
Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %		
40,491	30,675	75.8%	8,550	21.1%	679	1.7%	587	1.4%		




District 2

2020 Census

Citizen Voting Age Population


Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
52,753	-3,170	-5.7%	40,387	76.6%	9,104	17.3%	2,805	5.3%	457	0.9%
Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %		
46,022	37,502	81.5%	5,280	11.5%	2,691	5.8%	549	1.2%		


District 3

2020 Census

Citizen Voting Age Population





Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
54,523	-1,400	-2.5%	40,569	74.4%	11,138	20.4%	2,295	4.2%	521	1.0%
Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %		
41,805	33,472	80.1%	5,963	14.3%	1,551	3.7%	819	2.0%		




District 4

2020 Census

Citizen Voting Age Population



Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
57,577	1,654	3.0%	38,000	66.0%	17,506	30.4%	1,641	2.9%	430	0.7%
Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %		
42,281	31,563	74.7%	9,048	21.4%	1,298	3.1%	372	0.9%		



District 5

2020 Census

Citizen Voting Age Population


Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
56,968	1,045	1.9%	44,105	77.4%	10,038	17.6%	2,171	3.8%	654	1.1%
Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %		
46,339	38,251	82.5%	6,011	13.0%	1,461	3.2%	616	1.3%		







2020 Census


	1	2	3	4	5
Population	53,263	54,019	57,948	57,541	56,846
Deviation	-2,660	-1,904	2,025	1,618	923
Deviation %	-4.8%	-3.4%	3.6%	2.9%	1.7%
Other	33,781	41,465	45,764	36,139	42,843
Other %	63.4%	76.8%	79.0%	62.8%	75.4%
Latino	17,948	10,685	9,424	19,185	9,729
Latino %	33.7%	19.8%	16.3%	33.3%	17.1%
Asian	929	1,316	2,364	1,742	3,607
Asian %	1.7%	2.4%	4.1%	3.0%	6.3%
Black	605	553	396	475	667
Black %	1.1%	1.0%	0.7%	0.8%	1.2%

Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)


	1	2	3	4	5
Total CVAP	37,463	41,310	47,114	42,039	49,012
Other CVAP	27,922	35,111	39,597	30,252	38,581
Other CVAP %	74.5%	85.0%	84.0%	72.0%	78.7%
Latino CVAP	8,364	5,107	5,305	9,909	6,167
Latino CVAP %	22.3%	12.4%	11.3%	23.6%	12.6%
Asian CVAP	594	779	1,636	1,442	3,229
Asian CVAP %	1.6%	1.9%	3.5%	3.4%	6.6%
Black CVAP	583	313	576	436	1,035
Black CVAP %	1.6%	0.8%	1.2%	1.0%	2.1%










District 1



2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
53,263	-2,660	-4.8%	33,781	63.4%	17,948	33.7%	929	1.7%	605	1.1%
Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %		
37,463	27,922	74.5%	8,364	22.3%	594	1.6%	583	1.6%		



District 2



2020 Census




Citizen Voting Age Population




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
54,019	-1,904	-3.4%	41,465	76.8%	10,685	19.8%	1,316	2.4%	553	1.0%
Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %		
41,310	35,111	85.0%	5,107	12.4%	779	1.9%	313	0.8%		


District 3



2020 Census






Citizen Voting Age Population







Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
57,948	2,025	3.6%	45,764	79.0%	9,424	16.3%	2,364	4.1%	396	0.7%
Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %		
47,114	39,597	84.0%	5,305	11.3%	1,636	3.5%	576	1.2%		



District 4

2020 Census

Citizen Voting Age Population


Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
57,541	1,618	2.9%	36,139	62.8%	19,185	33.3%	1,742	3.0%	475	0.8%
Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %		
42,039	30,252	72.0%	9,909	23.6%	1,442	3.4%	436	1.0%		



District 5

2020 Census

Citizen Voting Age Population



Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
56,846	923	1.7%	42,843	75.4%	9,729	17.1%	3,607	6.3%	667	1.2%
Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %		
49,012	38,581	78.7%	6,167	12.6%	3,229	6.6%	1,035	2.1%		





**REDISTRICTING
PARTNERS**

**San Luis Obispo BOE
Draft Plan C**





2020 Census

	1	2	3	4	5
Population	53,079	55,049	57,441	56,692	57,356
Deviation	-2,844	-874	1,518	769	1,433
Deviation %	-5.1%	-1.6%	2.7%	1.4%	2.6%
Other	33,625	42,262	43,532	37,239	43,334
Other %	63.3%	76.8%	75.8%	65.7%	75.6%
Latino	17,922	10,871	10,953	17,400	9,825
Latino %	33.8%	19.7%	19.1%	30.7%	17.1%
Asian	927	1,354	2,510	1,624	3,543
Asian %	1.7%	2.5%	4.4%	2.9%	6.2%
Black	605	562	446	429	654
Black %	1.1%	1.0%	0.8%	0.8%	1.1%

Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

	1	2	3	4	5
Total CVAP	37,325	42,075	46,711	41,595	49,232
Other CVAP	27,804	35,744	38,142	30,936	38,837
Other CVAP %	74.5%	85.0%	81.7%	74.4%	78.9%
Latino CVAP	8,345	5,210	6,123	9,006	6,168
Latino CVAP %	22.4%	12.4%	13.1%	21.7%	12.5%
Asian CVAP	593	804	1,792	1,281	3,210
Asian CVAP %	1.6%	1.9%	3.8%	3.1%	6.5%
Black CVAP	583	317	654	372	1,017
Black CVAP %	1.6%	0.8%	1.4%	0.9%	2.1%









**REDISTRICTING
PARTNERS**


San Luis Obispo BOE
Draft Plan C with USDs




District 1



2020 Census



Citizen Voting Age Population




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
53,263	-2,660	-4.8%	33,781	63.4%	17,948	33.7%	929	1.7%	605	1.1%
Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %		
37,463	27,922	74.5%	8,364	22.3%	594	1.6%	583	1.6%		


District 2



2020 Census




Citizen Voting Age Population




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
55,049	-874	-1.6%	42,262	76.8%	10,871	19.7%	1,354	2.5%	562	1.0%
Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %		
42,075	35,744	85.0%	5,210	12.4%	804	1.9%	317	0.8%		


District 3



2020 Census




Citizen Voting Age Population




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
57,441	1,518	2.7%	43,532	75.8%	10,953	19.1%	2,510	4.4%	446	0.8%
Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %		
46,711	38,142	81.7%	6,123	13.1%	1,792	3.8%	654	1.4%		




District 4




2020 Census






Citizen Voting Age Population



Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
56,692	769	1.4%	37,239	65.7%	17,400	30.7%	1,624	2.9%	429	0.8%
Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %		
41,595	30,936	74.4%	9,006	21.7%	1,281	3.1%	372	0.9%		



District 5

2020 Census

Citizen Voting Age Population


Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
57,356	1,433	2.6%	43,334	75.6%	9,825	17.1%	3,543	6.2%	654	1.1%
Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %		
49,232	38,837	78.9%	6,168	12.5%	3,210	6.5%	1,017	2.1%		

